1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS					
3	MCALLEN DIVISION					
4	GALVAN, ET AL S CASE NO. 7:18-CV-113					
5	S MCALLEN, TEXAS VERSUS S WEDNESDAY,					
6	\$ MARCH 25, 2020 PABLOS, ET AL \$ 4:02 P.M. TO 4:08 P.M.					
7	MELEDIONIC MONTON HEADING					
8	TELEPHONIC MOTION HEARING					
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICARDO H. HINOJOSA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
10						
11						
12	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE					
13	ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER: ANTONIO TIJERINA					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:					
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC					
22	935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478					
23	281-277-5325 mary@judicialtranscribers.com					
24						
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.					

2

1			TELEPHONIC	APPEARANCES:
2				
3	FOR THE	PLAINTIFFS:		NAJVAR LAW FIRM Jerad W. Najvar, Esq.
4 5				Austin M. B. Whatley, Esq. 2180 North Loop West, Ste. 255 Houston, TX 77018
6				281-404-4696
7				
8	FOR THE	DEFENDANTS:		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Michael R. Abrams, Esq. PO Box 12548, Capital Station
9				Austin, TX 78711 512-475-4103
10				312 473 4103
11	FOR THE BOARD:	LOCAL ELECT	CION	TEXAS RIO GRANDE LEGAL AID Jose Garza, Esq.
12	BOARD.			Martin Golando, Esq. 1111 N. Main Ave.
13				San Antonio, TX 78212 210-212-3700
14				210-212-3700
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	1			

## 1 MCALLEN, TEXAS; WEDNESDAY, MARCH 25, 2020; 4:02 P.M. THE COURT: Civil Action No. M-18-113, Federico 2 3 Flores, Jr. and others versus Ruth R. Hughs in her official 4 capacity as Texas Secretary of State and others. 5 Can we have announcement for the parties? MR. NAJVAR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jerad 6 7 Najvar and Austin Whatley for the Plaintiffs. 8 MR. ABRAMS: Michael Abrams for the Secretary 9 Hughs. MR. GARZA: Jose Garza for the Local Election 10 11 Board. 12 THE COURT: Is that it? 13 MR. GARZA: With me is Martin Golando, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have some matters that have been 14 15 filed by both sides with regards to wanting the Court to 16 take certain action, and I guess I'll start with the 17 Secretary of State's request. 18 MR. ABRAMS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 MR. ABRAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I think that at 21 this point we do agree with the Plaintiffs that the case is 22 fully briefed and so we'd ask for -- and it's been argued, 23 so we'd ask for a ruling and disposition on the competing 24 Motions for Summary Judgments and if the Court is inclined 25 to grant the Plaintiffs' motion, we'd ask for an opportunity

under the Local Rules for three weeks to respond to what the Plaintiffs filed today, which is in essence a Motion for Injunction. So we'd ask if the Court is going to rule in favor of the Plaintiffs that we have time to respond to that and brief that issue.

THE COURT: Well, I'm ready to rule on these motions unless somebody else had something else to say?

MR. NAJVAR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. With regards to Docket Entry No. 65, which is the Secretary of State's First Amended Motion for Summary Judgment, that is denied.

With regards to Docket Entry No. 66, that is Defendant Martinez Garcia's Dougherty No. 1 and Dougherty No. 2, and Martinez Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs. This is with regards to the Board. That is also denied. That's No. 66.

With regards to the Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, it is denied without prejudice to refiling with more information as to what you really consider should be the result with regards to how you cure this issue and with regards to what you think the Court should do with regards to that. And be clear with regards to your arguments about your motion, as well as the way it should be fixed.

And that gives the State the opportunity to respond to it also.

```
1
             Was that clear to everybody?
             MR. NAJVAR: Okay. Yes, Your Honor. This is
 2
    Jerad for the --
 3
 4
             MR. ABRAMS: So Your Honor --
 5
             MR. NAJVAR: Go ahead. Go ahead, Michael.
              THE COURT: I don't think that anything can be put
 6
7
    in place to have to take care of any June election. I'll
8
    you that much. That's why I'm not pursuing.
9
             MR. GARZA: Your Honor, this is Jose Garza.
10
              I just wanted to make sure that I was clear that
11
    the denial of Defendants' motion included the denial of
    qualified immunity urged by the Local Board?
12
13
              THE COURT: Well with regards to the Local Board,
   you do have qualified immunity, as far as I'm concerned.
14
15
             MR. NAJVAR: Right, and this is Jerad Najvar for
16
   the Plaintiffs and we haven't disputed that in terms of -- I
   mean, they're immune from --
17
18
              THE COURT: Right.
19
             MR. NAJVAR: -- any, you know, financial
20
    liability. And we're not seeking that.
              THE COURT: And so you-all can submit an Order on
21
22
   the Motion to Dismiss for failure to state a claim on their
23
   part and which the Court basically finds that they're
24
    immune.
25
             MR. NAJVAR: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: Is that clear?
1
2
              MR. GARZA: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: The Court's ruling was more on the
 4
   most -- if they were trying to defend the statute as
 5
    written, but they're more like individuals who are just
 6
   enforcing the statute as it was written and not responsible
7
    for it. And --
8
              MR. NAJVAR: Your Honor, this is Jerad Najvar --
 9
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
              MR. NAJVAR: -- for the Plaintiffs. And just to
11
    clarify, with respect to our -- so you said our Motion for
12
    Summary Judgment is denied without prejudice to refiling,
   but the Court, as I understand it, is interested in what is
13
    the remedy we're seeking?
14
15
              THE COURT: Exactly.
              MR. NAJVAR: And so that's what our renewed motion
16
17
    should really address?
18
              THE COURT: That's what I'm asking you to address.
19
              MR. NAJVAR: Right.
20
              THE COURT: And which gives the Secretary of State
21
    the opportunity to respond to that.
22
              MR. NAJVAR: Right, okay. And I'll just say, so I
23
   will -- we filed a document, but it was only like a few
24
   hours before this hearing, and I'm going to just --
25
              THE COURT: I read it, but most of it seems to be
```

1	on your part with regards to wanting something done quickly
2	by July. I just wanted to make sure you gave it enough
3	thought as what exactly you would think that the remedy
4	should be here and also have the State to be able respond to
5	this.
6	MR. NAJVAR: Yes, Your Honor, and I'll just say
7	we'll revise that as appropriate in light of your comments
8	and but we'll have that on file, you know, the proposed
9	remedy, then the proposed injunction order is detailed and,
10	you know, based on Texas statutes and so I'll refile that
11	with some slight modifications, but that'll be refiled this
12	week.
13	And so, you know, then state-wide
14	THE COURT: Once it's ripe and the answer has been
15	filed, we'll set it for a hearing.
16	MR. NAJVAR: Okay.
17	THE COURT: If you-all don't have anything else,
18	you-all can be excused. Thank you-all.
19	(The parties thank the Court.)
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:08 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	* * * *

8

1	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
2	transcript to the best of my ability produced from the
3	electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
4	entitled matter.
5	/S/ MARY D. HENRY
6	CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
7	ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
8	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
9	JTT TRANSCRIPT #62326
LO	DATE FILED: JUNE 23, 2020
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	